





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,836	08/07/2001	William F. Scholz	46991/GTL/A23	5259
23363	7590 05/12/2003			
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			AHMAD, NASSER	
PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	11
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/925,836	SCHOLZ ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a viral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent whicles	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • ——	waste timely filed amandment	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>38-42</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>44,45 and 49</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 43,46-48 and 50-56.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
		Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicati n No. 09/925,836

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Samonides' teaches a method of makinga PSA construction wherein the PSA can be in hot melt, emulsion or solution and hence, in the non-final state as claimed. Also, independent claims 43 and 46 fails to recite simultaneous applying of the adhesive and the film-forming material.